

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,786	02/07/2002	Carroll Diaz	A01280US	3588
22920 7	7590 11/04/2002			
GARVEY SMITH NEHRBASS & DOODY, LLC THREE LAKEWAY CENTER 3838 NORTH CAUSEWAY BLVD., SUITE 3290			EXAMINER	
			WILSON, NEILL R	
METAIRIE, L.	A 70002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

£	/
, Office Action Summary	10 Application No. Applicant(s) 10 171, 78 Le CARROLL DIAZ
Office Action Summary /	Examiner 3,   Group Art Unit   3/0 79
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Response	<i>—</i>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) of the thir	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is FINAL.	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>	cept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	jare pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	<i>jg</i> /are rejected.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
M Claim(s) 3-6 And 10	i≰/are objected to
$\chi$ Claim(s) $3-6$ And $10$	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers      □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra     □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on     □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of The drawing(s) filed on is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of The drawing(s) filed on is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  s of the priority documents have been
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of The drawing(s) filed on is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority in All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  s of the priority documents have been  imber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of The drawing(s) filed on is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority and Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  s of the priority documents have been  imber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  It wing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Dijected to by the Examiner.  Per.  It y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Its of the priority documents have been  Imber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received:  *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  It wing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Dijected to by the Examiner.  Per.  It y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Its of the priority documents have been  Imber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  It wing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Dijected to by the Examiner.  Per.  It y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Its of the priority documents have been  Imber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.\_

Application/Control Number: 10/071,786

Art Unit:

0

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 2. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In parent claim 1 and claim 10, in the last two lines, the claims include a "nut" that is connected to the "body" at a "partially threaded portion". This in inaccurate because the claims do not include a partially threaded portion on the body.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 3. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Francesco. 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,786

Art Unit:

Francesco discloses a toggle bolt device that includes an elongated body 41, a shaft extending through the body, plural locking members 24 that are attached to the shaft and are movable to extend outwardly upon rotation of the shaft and a nut that is connected to the body at a threaded portion on the body.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Francesco.

Francesco discloses the provision of two locking members 24. A person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have obviously realized, after review of the teaching of Francesco, that three or more locking members could have been used on this device without modification of its basic function and it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to this person at that time to have made such a change in the number of locking members.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,786 Page 4

Art Unit:

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references to Appleberry, Johnson and Li disclose related fastener devices and are cited to further show the state of the art.
- 10. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual

Application/Control Number: 10/071,786	Page 5
Art Unit:	
who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.	l in the
Certificate of Mailing	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231	; Postal
on (Date)	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Un Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on on	ited States
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

A response to this action can be filed by Fax (use Fax No. 703-872-9326).

Art Unit:

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neill Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

NRW

October 22, 2002

Neill Wilson Primary Examiner